भारत सरकार

Government of India

इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी और सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology

इलेक्ट्रॉनिक्स निकेतन, 6,सी जी कॉम्पलेक्स, नई दिल्ली-110003

Electronics Niketan, 6, C G O Complex, New Delhi-110003

Website: www.meity.gov.in

संख्या 9(4)/2023-ABCD	दिनांक 03.02.2023
No	Date

To

Subject: RTI Application Regn. No. DITEC/R/E/23/00102 and DITEC/R/X/23/00012 Shri Victor, Karnataka under RTI Act, 2005 - reg.

Sir,

Reference is invited to your RTI Application bearing Regn. No. _DITEC/R/E/23/00102 dated 20.01.2023 and No. DITEC/R/X/23/00012 dated 30.01.2023 seeking information relating to Recruitment Rules for the post of Director General, SCL.

2. In this regard, the information sought by you and point-wise comments / reply of ABC Division are as under:-

S1.No.	Information sought by Applicant	Comments / Reply of ABC Division
1.	Who is the approving authority for Recruitment Rules for the post of DG, SCL?	Hon'ble MEIT
2.	Please provide a copy of the approval for the said RRs for DG, SCL post taken from ACC or Cabinet or any approving authority?	Copy of approval of Competent Authority of the RRs for the post of Director, SCL will be provided on receipt of Rs. 18/-
3.	A peculiar change has been made in the RRs for the Director level post is that qualifications have been amended to include – Chemical Stream. However, VLSI Microelectronics is strongly electronics oriented. Please provide a copy of the approval which leads to the addition in the qualification criterion. Please supply the name of the authority who suggested this modification.	(Rupees eighteen only) towards fee for providing information [9 pages x @ Rs.2/- per page] in the form of demand draft/IPO favouring "Senior Accounts Officer, PAO, MeitY".
4	Is the modification mentioned to RRs as mentioned in para 3, solely for the purpose of benefitting Shri Vikas Trikha (whose qualification is Chemical Stream) who is also the Acting-Director of SCL at the moment? Is such benefit to one single person permitted?	This Information sought does not fall under definition of information under the RTI Act, 2005.

5.	The earlier RTI no FITEC/R/E/22/01255 which brings out the favours being given to one particular person, Mr. Vikas Trikha in SCL and causing unjustifiable harm to the carrier of other person, Shri Paritosh Jain has also not been replied in the true spirit of RTI.	This Information sought does not fall under definition of information under the RTI Act, 2005.
6.	The modification of RRs in favour of Shri Vikas Trikha at the same time when Shri Vikas Trikha is at the post of SCL, Director is a burning example of the callousness and dishonest policy of the MeitY. Is there any personal relationship between MeitY authorities and Shri Vikas Trikha?	This Information sought does not fall under definition of information under the RTI Act, 2005.
7.	Lastly, it is also informed that one Shri Sanjeev Narang (Pay-Level-15, Govt. of India) is also posted to Semi-Conductor Laboratory and who is also an Electronics Engineer. But still MeitY has allotted the Acting Director post to Shri Vikas Trikha and not to anyone like Shri Sanjeev Narang (Who is 1 level senior to Shri Vikas Trikha) or Paritosh Jain (Who is also Level-14), Please reply if such favour to Shri Vikas Trikha by MeitY is under any policy approved by Govt. of India?	This Information sought does not fall under definition of information under the RTI Act, 2005.
8.	Has SCL or Shri Vikas Trikha suggested the changes in the Recruitment Rules to MeitY with respect to addition of Chemical Stream in qualifications?	This Information sought does not fall under definition of information under the RTI Act, 2005.

2. Appeal, if any, against the above reply by CPIO may be made to Shri Roop Kishor, Dir.(Pers.) & FAA, MeitY within 30 days from the date of issue of this communication.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(V. Rajalakshmi) Deputy Director & CPIO Tel.No. 24301245

Copy to:

RTI Cell, MeitY