

**RTI REQUEST DETAILS**

<b>Registration No. :</b>	DITEC/R/E/23/00102	<b>Date of Receipt :</b>	20/01/2023
<b>Type of Receipt :</b>	Online Receipt	<b>Language of Request :</b>	English
<b>Name :</b>		<b>Gender :</b>	
<b>Address :</b>			
<b>State :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Country :</b>	India
<b>Phone No. :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Mobile No. :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Email :</b>			
<b>Status(Rural/Urban) :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Education Status :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? :</b>	Yes	<b>Citizenship Status :</b>	Indian
<b>Amount Paid :</b>	0 )	<b>Mode of Payment :</b>	Payment Gateway
<b>Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person ? :</b>	No(Normal)	<b>Request Pertains to :</b>	V. Rajalakshmi (ABC Div)
<b>Information Sought :</b>	<p>This RTI is related to the Recruitment Rules for the post of Director-General of Semi Conductor Laboratory which have been approved and published by MeitY .</p> <p>1) Who is the approving authority for Recruitment Rules for the post of DG-SCL?</p> <p>2) Please provide a copy of the approval for the said RRs for DG-SCL post taken from ACC or Cabinet or any approving authority?</p> <p>3) A peculiar change has been made in the RRs for the director level post is that qualifications have been amended to include - Chemical stream . However, VLSI Microelectronics is strongly electronics-oriented. Please provide a copy of the approval which leads to the addition in the qualification criterion. Please supply the name of the authority who suggested this modification.</p> <p>4) Is the modification mentioned to RR as mentioned in para 3 , solely for the purpose of benefitting Sh Vikas Trikha (whose qualification is Chemical stream) who is also the acting-director of SCL at the moment? Is such benefit to one single person permitted under the policies of the Government of India?</p> <p>5) The earlier RTI no DITEC/R/E/22/01255 which brings</p>		

out the favours being given to one particular person- Mr Vikas Trikha in SCL and causing unjustifiable harm to the career of other person -Sh Paritosh Jain , has also not been replied in the true spirit of RTI .

6) The modification of RR in favour of Sh Vikas Trikha, at the same time when Sh Vikas Trikha is at the post of SCL Director is a burning example of the callousness and dishonest policy of the MeitY. Is there any personal relationship between MeitY authorities and Sh Vikas Trikha?

7) Lastly, it is also informed that one Sh Sanjeev Narang (Pay Level 15, Govt of India) is also posted to Semi Conductor Laboratory and who is also an Electronics Engineer. But still MeitY has allotted the acting director post to SH Vikas Trikha and not to anyone like Sh Sanjeev Narang (who is 1 level senior to Vikas Trikha) or Paritosh Jain (who is also Level 14). Please reply if such favour to Sh Vikas Trikha by MeitY is under any policy approved by Govt of India?

8) Has SCL or Sh Vikas Trikha suggested the changes in the Recruitment Rules to MeitY with respect to addition of Chemical Stream in qualifications?

Print

Save

Close