RTI REQUEST DETAILS			
Registration No. :	DITEC/R/E/23/00836	Date of Receipt :	10/07/2023
Type of Receipt :	Online Receipt	Language of Request :	English
Name:		Gender:	
Address:			
State:		Country:	India
Phone No. :	Details not provided	Mobile No. :	
Email:			
Status(Rural/Urban):	Urban	Education Status:	
Is Requester Below Poverty Line?:	No	Citizenship Status	Indian
Amount Paid:	10)	Mode of Payment	Payment Gateway
Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person?	No(Normal)	Request Pertains to :	V. Rajalakshmi (ABC Div)
Information Sought:	Details of RTI application are in a document uploaded as supporting document.		

Date: 10.07.2023

To

CPIO

ABC Division

Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology

New Delhi.

Sub: Seeking information under the RTI ACT, 2005

Hearing at National Commission for Scheduled Castes (NCSC) in my case (File No. V-19/Tele-27/2017/SSW-I) was held on 16.03.2023. During the hearing Hon'ble Commission had directed MeitY to give ante-dated promotion to the petitioner in grade of Scientist 'G' from the date of his eligibility and directed MeitY to submit action taken report within 30 days.

- 2. The action taken report submitted by MeitY to NCSC vide letter No.6(1)/2018-ABCD dated 24.05.2023 mentions as under:
 - 2. The meeting under the Chairmanship of Cabinet Secretary was held on 27.03.2023 with regard to implementation of judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of ante-dating of promotions. Subsequently, another round of high level inter-ministerial deliberations were held and finally it has been decided to implement the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ante-dating of promotion of S&T officers reviewed under FCS Policy.
 - 3. In view of the foregoing, this Ministry has directed the parent organization of the petitioner viz. C-DAC to submit a proposal to MeitY in respect of Shri Vasant Avaghade with regard to ante-dating of his promotion. The proposal would, thereafter, be examined in the Ministry with regard to applicability of the above judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court based on the case of Shri Avaghade.

٥.

- 3. MeitY letter No.6(1)/2018-ABCD(Pt.III) dated 24.05.2023 to Director General, C-DAC mentions as under:
 - 2. After detailed deliberations with the concerned authorities, this Ministry has reached to the final decision to implement the judgment dated 25.08.2021 of Hon'ble Supreme Court (copy enclosed) in the case of ante-dating of promotion under FCS Promotion Policy.
 - 3. Shri Vasant Avaghade was also reviewed under the FCS Promotion Policy by C-DAC. In view of discussions held before Hon'ble NCSC in the last hearing held on 16.03.2023 (Minutes enclosed) and keeping in view the above decision of MeitY, C-DAC is requested to examine the case of Shri Vasant Avaghade from the point of view of ante-dating and submit the proposal to this ministry at the earliest, for examination by MeitY.

In the above context, kindly provide the certified copies of document/documents containing the following information:

- a. A proposal submitted by C-DAC to MeitY in respect of Shri Vasant Avaghade with regard to ante-dating of his promotion in response to MeitY's letter No.6(1)/2018-ABCD(Pt.III) dated 24.05.2023 to Director General, C-DAC
- b. File/green sheet notings at MeitY w.r.t. examining the proposal submitted by C-DAC to MeitY in respect of Shri Vasant Avaghade with regard to antedating of his promotion in response to MeitY letter No.6(1)/2018-ABCD(Pt.III) dated 24.05.2023 to Director General, C-DAC.
- -xc. All Communications/reminders sent by MeitY to C-DAC after 24.05.2023 in respect of ante-dating of promotion of Shri Vasant Avaghade and replies received from CDAC.

d. All communications made by MeitY to NCSC after 24.05.2023 with in respect of Shri Vasant Avaghade with regard to ante-dating of his promotion.

The information sought pertains to the petitioner. Further information sought has not been expressly forbidden to be published by any court of law or tribunal or the disclosure of which may constitute contempt of court. Hence it cannot be denied under section 8(1)(b) of RTI Act. Further, MeitY is the custodian of the information sought and hence information sought cannot be denied under section 8(1)(e) of RTI Act. Further there is a large public interest involved in disclosing the sought information and hence information sought information does not fall within the restrictions contained in Section 8 & 9 of the RTI Act

With Regards,

Vasant Avaghade