Public Authority: Department of Electronics & Information Technology
Role : Appellate Authority **User :** Roop Kishor (ABC Div)

Note : Fields marked with are Mandatory.(नोट : से चिन्हित फील्ड अनिवार्य हैं)

Registration Number:	DITEC/A/E/22/00055 View RTI Request Details View Appeal Details
Appeal is received by:	Online Receipt
Date of Receipt :	08/04/2022
Name:	
Gender:	
Address:	
Mobile Number / Email-ID :	
State / Country :	
RTI Request Registration No:	DITEC/R/E/22/00081
RTI Request Registration Date:	25/01/2022
Appeal Letter No.:	Details not provided
Appeal Letter Date:	Details not provided
Citizenship Status :	Indian
Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ?:	No
Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person?:	Details not provided.
Name of CPIO:	Sanjit Choudhury (ABC Div)
CPIO's Order/Decision No.:	Details not provided
Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(:	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal:	No Response Within the Time Limit
Prayer or Relief Sought:	The details of appeal are in a document uploaded as supporting document.
Reason for delay(if any) in filing this appeal:	N/A

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI REQUEST No.DITEC/R/E/22/00081

Applicant Name	Vasant Avaghade
Text of Application	The details of RTI application are in a document uploaded as supporting document
Reply of Application	

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	RTI REQUEST RECEIVED	25/01/2022	Nodal Officer	
2	REQUEST FORWARDED TO CPIO	25/01/2022	Nodal Officer	Forwarded To : Sanjit Choudhury (ABC Div)

JD (ABC)
JD (ABC)
11/4/2022
SO (ABC)
12/4
Sh. Kumar
13/4/22
Submitted Th. e-file
19/4/22

RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)			
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	DITEC/A/E/22/00055	**RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :**	08/04/2022
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):	DITEC/R/E/22/00081	**RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :**	25/01/2022
Name (नाम) :		**Gender (लिंग) :**	Male
Address (पता) :			
Pin code (पिन कोड) :			
State (राज्य) :		**Country (देश) :**	India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Details not provided	**Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :**	
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :			
Status (स्थिति) :	Urban	**Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :**	
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian	**Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :**	No
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	13221	**Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :**	Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No.(सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided		
Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :	No Response Within the Time Limit		
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	The details of appeal are in a document uploaded as supporting document.		

8.4.2022

To

Shri Roop Kishor
Director & First Appellate Authority, ABC Division
Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology,
Electronics Niketan, 6, C.G.O. Complex,
New Delhi-110003.

Sub: First Appeal under Section 19(1) of RTI ACT, 2005

Respected Sir,

I had filed RTI Application bearing R.N DITEC/R/E/22/00081 dated 25.1.2022 seeking following information:

a. *All file/green sheet notings in* File No. 6(24)/2021-ABCD at MeitY *after 25.11.2021*
b. *All correspondence in* File No. 6(24)/2021-ABCD *done by MeitY with CDAC after 25.11.2021*
c. *All Correspondence in* File No. 6(24)/2021-ABCD *received from CDAC after 25.11.2021*

2. CPIO was expected to dispose of my RTI application with in 30 days as per RTI ACT 2005. However even after 72 days and multiple reminders, this RTI application is not disposed of by CPIO. It amounts to CPIO denying information without any reason. In this context following submitted to your kind consideration:

i. CIC many times has ruled that CPIO cannot imagine new exemptions other than grounds available in Section 8 (1) of RTI Act.

ii. CIC in its decision dated 31.07.2019 in case of File No. CIC/DGAAR/A/218/125595/SD has held that merely informing that matter is under consideration is akin to providing no information. CPIO in this case was directed by CIC to provide certified copies of available note sheet/correspondence as on the date of the order by CIC free of cost.

iii. Information sought has not been expressly forbidden to be published by any court of law or tribunal or the disclosure of which may constitute contempt of court. Hence it cannot be denied under section 8(1)(b) of RTI Act. Further, MeitY itself is the custodian of the information sought and hence information sought not be denied under section 8(1)(e) of RTI Act. too.

iv. It is almost 8 months after the judgment of the Supreme Court dated 25.8.2021 in case of Civil appeal 6359 of 2016 and almost two mother the Solicitor General of India giving his opinion that this case is not for filing review petition and MeitY deciding to implementing the judgement of the Apex court in case of NIC employees.

v. The information sought is in the context of the replies filed by MeitY to National Commission for Scheduled Castes in response to petitioner's rejoinder/s. The information sought has direct relevance to prayers of petitioner before Hon'ble Commission and promotion of the petitioner to the grade of Scientist 'G' from the date of eligibility. Further, there is large public interest involved in disclosing the sought information.

In view of above, Appellate Authority is requested to issue speaking order and provide the sought information himself or direct CPIO to provide the information available as on the date of disposal of this appeal by appellate authority free of cost.

With Regards,

Vasant Avaghade